



Worcester County Administration Office
1 West Market Street, Room 1103
Snow Hill, MD 21863
Ph. 410-632-1194 Fax 410-632-3131
Email: nrice@co.worcester.md.us

Addendum # 2 Tanhouse Creek Bridge

Date of Addendum: January 30, 2026

NOTICE TO ALL BIDDERS AND PLANHOLDERS

The Proposal Documents for the above-referenced Project are modified as set forth in this Addendum. The original Proposal Documents and any previously issued addenda remain in full force and effect, except as modified by this Addendum, which is hereby made part of the Proposal Documents. Vendors will take this Addendum into consideration when preparing and submitting a Proposal and shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the space provided in the Proposal Documents.

PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL DEADLINE

The Proposal submittal time has not been changed.

1.0 – ATTACHMENTS

Item	Description

2.0 – CLARIFICATIONS

The following clarifications are provided as a matter of information to clarify issues raised about the Proposal Documents.

Item	Description

3.0 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following questions and answers are provided as a matter of information to clarify issues raised about the Proposal Documents.

Item	Questions and Answers
1	<p>The proposed submission date is one week following the deadline for questions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">When will answers to questions be made available? 1/30/26Will the submission date be adjusted to allow proposers time to take those answers into account? Bid due date is extended until 2:30pm, Wednesday, February 25, 2026.

2	<p>The schedule in the RFP identifies 6 months allowance for construction activities. We believe this is reasonable without consideration to any regulatory restrictions. Depending on the actual time to design and permit and resulting date when construction can commence, an in-stream work restriction could be in place. If so, will the completion date be adjusted with no penalty to the design/build team?</p> <p>Yes, we recognize the time to obtain permits varies with the agencies involved, and that stream restrictions will likely be stipulated in the permits. The contract time can be revised to account for those unknowns when the permitting stage is reached.</p>
3	<p>Are as-builts of the existing structure available? If so, can you provide them digitally prior to the submission date?</p> <p>As-builts are not available</p>
4	<p>Are any weight limits applicable to the existing roadway (not the structure)?</p> <p>The roadway does not have a weight restriction.</p>
5	<p>Will performance and payment bonds be required of the successful proposer?</p> <p>Performance and Payment Bonds are discussed in Section III, Part O of the RFP.</p>
6	<p>Is it possible to extend the overall project schedule? A design schedule of three months does not seem practical given three submittals and three county reviews. Permitting schedule of six months also does not seem practical is the county willing to give nine months for design and permitting?</p> <p>Design time has been extended to 5 months. Permitting time remains at 6 months. The County believes that 11 months is reasonable to design and permit this type of structure Allowances will be made as needed for permitting agency review time.</p>
7	<p>Please provide instructions and format information to be used in our proposal to be in compliance with the evaluation criteria in section IV.I.1.</p> <p>The County believes the evaluation criteria and weighting provided is self explanatory. Formatting is three (3) unbound copies of the proposals.</p>
8	<p>Has the county considered the instream restrictions for MDE in developing the project schedule?</p> <p>See response to Item 2</p>
9	<p>Can a bid length be provided for the ESP 7.1 abutment sheeting?</p> <p>The length will need to be determined by the designing engineer.</p>
10	<p>The bid documents reference proposed materials for the timber bridge for an in-kind replacement of existing members. If the awarded contractor's engineers find certain specified materials that may not comply with current applicable standards depending on the loading requirements, will the county reimburse the contractor for the differing material/added labor needed to meet code?</p> <p>The bid documents describe the County's intent for an in-kind replacement; however, the Contractor and the Engineer are responsible for verifying that all proposed materials and member sizes meet current applicable codes and loading requirements. Any design modifications necessary to achieve compliance shall be included in the Contract Price. The County will not reimburse additional costs unless a change is expressly directed by the County through a written change order.</p>
11	<p>Please confirm if the load rating is to remain as 20,000-lb Single Unit Vehicles and 49,000-lb Combination Unit Vehicles.</p> <p>Load ratings are typically re-established after construction via State inspection. It is the County's goal to have the rating unchanged or improved through the construction process / State inspection.</p>

END OF ADDENDUM